Ministry of Education, Youth and Spo	rt
Name of Unit	

Application to the CPDMO for accreditation as a CPD provider

Note to applicants: Once an application for accreditation has been successful and accreditation has been awarded, providers must ensure that they deliver the CPD service providing as per the application submitted and approved. If quality assurance mechanisms such as spots checks subsequently reveal that any aspect of the CPD service providing being delivered, for example assessment of learning, does not conform to the description of the CPD offering as accredited, accreditation may be revoked.

Institutional status		Evidence/documents to be submitted
Name of institution to be		E.g. a Prakas by the MoEYS
accredited		
Address of institution		
Name and role of main point		
of contact		
Email address for		
correspondence Phone number of main point		
of contact		
Type of institution (e.g.,		
Teacher Education College,		
Higher Education Institution,		
etc.)		
Institutional Qualification		Evidence/documents to be submitted
Has the institution	□ Yes □ No	Certificate of Institutional
received a Certificate of	☐ Certificate applied for but not yet received	Accreditation from the Accreditation
Institutional Accreditation		Committee of Cambodia (ACC) and
from the Accreditation		MoEYS
Committee of Cambodia		
(ACC) and MoEYS?		
(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2. Has the institution been	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Institution has plans to	Evidence of upgrading to a TEC (e.g. a
upgraded or does it have plans	upgrade	Prakas issued by the MoEYS) or evidence
to upgrade to become a	Please give details:	of intention to upgrade to a TEC (e.g., a 5-
Teacher Education College	_	year strategic plan)
(TEC)? (extra point?)		
Program Development		Evidence/documents to be submitted
3. What level of teacher CPD	☐ Teacher CPD for preschool	NA
is the institution applying to	☐ Teacher CPD for primary education	
provide?	☐ Teacher CPD for lower secondary education	
	☐ Teacher CPD for upper secondary education	
	□ School director CPD	
	☐ Education specialist CPD	
	□ Other:	5:1 /1
Program Resources		List of faculty mambars / trainers
4. How many faculty		List of faculty members/ trainers, including full name and i) highest
members/trainers are		qualifications, ii) number of years' prior
employed by the institution?		teaching experience, iii) level of
5. How many faculty		competence in English language and iv)
members/ trainers have		ICT for classroom
academic qualification higher		
than the teachers/school		Copies of all faculty members' / trainers'
directors to whom they will		

provide CPD?		highest diploma / certificate
6. How many faculty		
members have at least 3		
years prior teaching		
experience?		Evidence of English or other foreign
7. How many faculty		language proficiency (e.g., Intermediate
members have at least 5 years prior teaching		certificate of completion of a language
experience?		programme at an accredited institution,
8. How many faculty		an IELTS of 4.5, a TOEFL score of 500,
members are competent in		etc.) for all faculty members / trainers
English or another foreign		
language?		Evidence of successful completion of at
		least basic ICT courses for education (e.g.,
9. How many faculty		Microsoft Office packages, how to teach
members are competent in		online, using social media for education,
ICT for classroom and other		etc.) for all faculty members / trainers
applications?	- Vac - Na - In the present of upper director	Evidence of appropriate physical facilities
10. Are physical facilities	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In the process of upgrading to	Evidence of appropriate physical facilities (e.g., teacher educator-student ratio
within the applicants'	level of standard 4 of TEPS	based on the ACC framework, laboratory,
premises appropriate for	Please give details:	ICT centre, training facilities, etc.)
effective CPD delivery, as per		Ter centre, training radiities, etc.,
standard 4 of Teacher		
Education Provider		
Standards?		Filder of Advance at the beautiful
Quality Assurance		Evidence/documents to be submitted
11. Has the institution	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In the process of developing	Guidelines for internal assessment of CPD
developed guidelines for internal assessment of CPD	guidelines	offerings
offerings provided & a	Please give details:	
mechanism to conduct		
internal quality assurance?		
12. Has the institution	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ In the process of developing a	Evidence of a mechanism to conduct
		1
developed a mechanism to	mechanism	internal quality assurance or intention to
conduct internal quality	mechanism Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or
		do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain
conduct internal quality		do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the
conduct internal quality assurance?	Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network)
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution	Please give details: □ Yes □ No □ In the process of responding	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality	Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g.,
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by	Please give details: □ Yes □ No □ In the process of responding	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders?	Please give details: □ Yes □ No □ In the process of responding Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation)
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery,	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements?	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous applications.	Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of responding Please give details: 'Yes 'No 'In the process of collecting a broad range of data	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous applications.	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application 15. Has a previous application	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been addressed 15. Has a previous application been submitted?	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been addressed 15. Has a previous application been submitted?	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been addressed 15. Has a previous application been submitted? 16. If a previous application has been submitted, what	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been addressed 15. Has a previous application been submitted? 16. If a previous application has been submitted, what was the date of submission?	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details: Ion(s) and how issues leading to refusal have	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been addressed 15. Has a previous application been submitted? 16. If a previous application has been submitted, what was the date of submission? 17. Was the previous	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details:	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been submitted? 15. Has a previous application been submitted? 16. If a previous application has been submitted, what was the date of submission? 17. Was the previous submission?	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details: Ion(s) and how issues leading to refusal have	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements Evidence/documents to be submitted
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been submitted? 15. Has a previous application has been submitted, what was the date of submission? 17. Was the previous submission	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details: Ion(s) and how issues leading to refusal have	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements Evidence/documents to be submitted Evidence of previous refusal, including
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been submitted? 15. Has a previous application been submitted, what was the date of submission? 17. Was the previous submission was unsuccessful, what	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details: Ion(s) and how issues leading to refusal have	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements Evidence/documents to be submitted Evidence of previous refusal, including date and issues identified (e.g.,
conduct internal quality assurance? 13. Has the institution responded to external quality assurance conducted by relevant stakeholders? 14. Has the institution collected a broad range of data from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements? Outcome of previous application been submitted? 15. Has a previous application has been submitted, what was the date of submission? 17. Was the previous submission	Please give details: Yes No In the process of responding Please give details: Yes No In the process of collecting a broad range of data Please give details: Ion(s) and how issues leading to refusal have	do so (e.g., an internal programme or curriculum review, using a certain framework, such as by the ACC and the ASEAN University Network) Evidence of responses to external quality assurance or intention to do so (e.g., action plan in response to the ACC accreditation) Evidence of data collected from program delivery, subject evaluations, student assessments, graduate surveys, and employer and other feedback activities for ongoing CPD program improvements Evidence/documents to be submitted Evidence of previous refusal, including

accreditation?	
19. What measures have been taken to address the issues leading to refusal/provisional accreditation?	Evidence of measures taken (e.g., number and certificates of teacher educators upgraded to MA)

n	2	Ŧ	Δ	•
\boldsymbol{L}	а	·	c	•

Signature of Director: